

February 19, 1991

That's very, very difficult. For my purposes, Senator Byars has always been good for my legislation. I've worked very closely with Senator Byars. I consider him a friend. But, in this case, I had to do what I thought was right. I went into the election contest thinking, yes, you don't want to disenfranchise voters, you don't want to do that. I don't want to do that. I came out of it on the other side, after 900 pages of reading, saying I do have to follow the law. And, Senator Kristensen, you're wrong, you cannot vote the way that you're saying and follow the law. You are wrong, dead wrong. You cannot do it. To follow the law, you have to vote to get rid of those ballots. You have to do that to follow the law. I know that a lot of you have been under a lot of pressure. There's personalities involved. We've got Senator Byars involved. He's been here a couple of years. Very difficult to put that aside. We've got issues involved. We had a report in the paper not very long ago that may hinge on the gun control...gun control may hinge on what we decide here. Irresponsible reporting, as far as I'm concerned. That should not enter into this process, not at all. That should not happen. Then we have politics. We have the Republican Party putting on the full court press, no doubt about, no doubt that they did that. I don't fault them for that. That's what they're supposed to do. That's what their job is to keep "Rs" and "Ds". Their job is to keep "Rs" in office. That's what they do. There's nothing wrong with that. It's part of the process. I had some calls over the weekend and that person would start to explain to me how I was wrong and how...and I would ask them, did you read 900 pages? And they would say, no, I got this little summary that came...somebody faxed me a summary. The summary isn't enough. The summary does not get you beyond personalities. It does not get you beyond the issues. It does not get you beyond the "Rs" and the "Ds". If you just look at the summary, you're going to come down on the side of not disenfranchising voters. But if you look at all of the information and you read it very carefully, and you look at the law very carefully, you're going to come down on the side of staying within the law. One of the most interesting questions I would ask these people when they would call me, and they would say, well, this is what you've got to do, and the first question I would say, okay, answer me this. If the "Rs" and "Ds" were turned around, would you be making this call? And not one of them could tell me they would be making that call. That's okay, that's their job. That's what they're there to do and that's perfectly fine. I don't say that there's anything wrong with that. But what I'm saying is, is in here we've got