

February 19, 1991

and the 55 speed limit was there and somebody came in with a cop who said, this guy was going 56, because that's exactly what you have in this case, a tough, close call. Gosh, I wish I didn't have to make it. Gosh, this isn't somebody who was speeding at 95 miles an hour, recklessly endangering people.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: This person isn't a danger to others. This is one mile over the speed limit and you're that judge and do you get to throw away the statute? Do you get to say, I don't think he knew he was going 55...he was going 56, but the radar says he was, every piece of evidence says he was? The tough thing to do in that situation is to say, what does the statute say? Are you over 55? That happens to be X, Y, Z infraction with an X, Y, Z minimum punishment and here it is, bang. Now our remedy, unfortunately, is aimed at throwing the ballots out. Maybe that's a bad remedy, pick another one and pass a law, change the law, but you have a murky, unanswerable series of value choices here that you can't get answers out of and there's only one clear, . . .

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: ..bright line answer, it's following the statute until the statute changes and that is our job today.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. We'll now go to Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, as I have been listening to the discussion, part of what I hear is the law ought to be changed and we ought to change it, we ought to change that public policy in the election...in the vote on this motion. And I have great trouble with that. I, too, happen to believe the law ought to be changed but I cannot ignore the fact that as recently as 1984 this body voted to adopt the very law that's under discussion, much more recent than any court decision. We had the option then to have changed the statute and we chose not to. It's been suggested by a number of our speakers that the law really doesn't apply because there was no fraud. You do not pass laws for the purposes of identifying fraud when it occurs, you pass statutes for the purpose of preventing fraud. When you read the statute, rather the legislative hearing, it comes through very clear that there