

February 19, 1991

the Constitution in broad terms as it has been discussed. I am going to try to talk about this as I see it, as if I were a judge deciding this case, and I look at...I remember what Senator Warner said initially getting in this debate, and that is we have to act like a court. We have to be like a court in making this decision. And I will tell you for the first week of looking at this matter, the problem I had is, what is our role? What is our role as a Legislature in this case? And the answer that...and I struggled with that issue and I struggled with it, I came to the conclusion that we are a court. We are like a court. We are like judges in this case and I think Senator Warner is absolutely correct in that. There is no other role that we can have here. We aren't legislators in the legislative sense. We are judges. Now, then it is up to each of us in that role to make the decision that is appropriate to be made. So I struggled with that and struggled with that and I thank Senator Warner for helping me because I think that is the right conclusion. The second question then becomes, what is the law to be applied in this case, and the third question is, what are the facts that we apply the law to? And as judges, and I was a judge for a couple of years in the Workmen's Compensation Court, and I went to school and I was taught that as a judge what you first do is you look at the law, you find out what the applicable law is, and then you take the facts and you apply them to the law. It is very straightforward but each case is different, and each case is complex. What are the facts of this case? The majority opinion does not go into any great depth as to findings of fact, so we have to ferret them out from what we have heard here today and from our own research into this case. The facts, at least the salient facts, as I see them, are, one, that the voters in this case, the voters in this case technically complied with the statutes, the voters did. They sent in ballots in the technically correct manner, one. Two, there is no evidence of fraud and, three, that the election, the county clerk initialed rather than signed each ballot that was eventually counted. Those are the salient facts of this case. Now what is the law to be applied? The law is the statute, yes, but the law is also the legal precedent that has been found...the legal precedent of other courts in other cases similar to this one. So the law is the statute. The law, Senator Lowell Johnson says, is also the Constitution, but the law is also legal precedent. The law is also legal precedent. And Senator Chambers talked about the case of Rasp vs. McHugh. In fact, the case of Rasp vs. McHugh was a case where ballots were thrown out, but in the case of McMaster vs. Wilkinson, a