

January 14, 1991

this, in my opinion. And it comes as no surprise, given the fact that I've been pushing this for a number of years, and before me other members of the Legislature. So, it's not a new rule, and this language, particular language has been around here before, and a matter of fact, you know, the fact of adopting cloture is not something new to this body. Remember, in the early eighties, in a special session, in a budget session, when the Legislature adopted a cloture rule, because at that point in time the pain was right in front of them. They knew, if they didn't, there was a good chance that a filibuster would go on forever, and they understood it was very simple, it was easy to not vote for cloture when you don't think a filibuster is going to happen, or at least it's not going to happen for several months. You know, back then, when it was obvious it was going to happen within a matter of hours, people supported it. So there is a precedent there to do that. That was just in a special session. Now, we have never adopted one in our permanent rules of a legislative session, you know, and I don't know the reason why. I think part of it is just that romanticism we have with the ability to filibuster. Maybe you're like me. I remember in fourth grade the first time I learned the word it was the biggest word I knew, you know. And I went around the house, after school, and said, I learned a big new word today, filibuster, and I could say what it was. Or maybe it's because people remember Jimmy Stewart's, "Mr. Smith Goes to Washington", impassioned plea, you know, in that case the filibuster, the good guys won, the good guys won there because, you know, eventually he made his point. Well, we tend to forget that it's not good guys or bad guys. You remember, Senator Chambers, of all people, the ability to filibuster in the U.S. Senate put the civil rights movement back a couple decades probably, because the boll weevil was already filibustering and it never happened. You know in that case I'm sure Senator Chambers would agree that the good guys didn't win, the bad guys won. The bad guys, with a filibuster, kept some progress from happening in the country. So, here we are in the Legislature, should we or shouldn't we. The question is, do you stifle ideas? I know Senator Wesely made an impassioned plea about we're different, we need to debate things, we shouldn't limit people's ideas. Well, you know, if you can't make a legitimate idea in eight hours of debate, I mean, I think you need to at least hone your debating skills a little better. And quite obviously, you know, in eight hours of debate it's going to make you prioritize your points. The most important things you're going to get in in eight hours. And the ones that are