

don't make those preparations and those repairs now, they are going to cost you more later. Mental alcohol...mental health and alcohol, same situation as the first, specific need was shown or they wouldn't have been increased last year. \$400,000 to the MAD buses, all we did was instead of paying for it this year, we are going to spread it out over two years and no tax savings there. That is a paper shift. We are down to the next several small items that Senator Chambers is referring to. We are now down on a \$7,000 saving that is not worth the time for this Legislature to be talking about when we have so many important things to be talking about. We should be talking about how are we going to turn this situation around. We are down to Public Institutions. With that, we are going to close a child's home here in Lincoln. Social Services, we have closed out lots of services just at the time when they are needed more than ever. We can go on down to the universities and the state colleges, and I submit to you that that will not be a tax savings. All that that will cause is for no programs to be shut off but just a little bit of shifting from here to there. There will be no change in the administration, nobody will be laid off. No significant changes will be made. The programs offered will be less. We can go down to the next major group which is, as everybody knows, not a tax savings but a shift in tax savings to property tax. I think there is every man in the Legislature here, and woman, that would say that if one tax needs to be adjusted, it is not the savings...it is not the sales tax or the income tax, it is the property tax. It has had an effective doubling of rate in the last two years because of the decrease in the valuation. Fellow members, think about what you are doing here. We are not facing up to the situation. Turn the page over, you can see that the Appropriations Committee went and searched very hard and they found some things that could be changed. They shook all the budgets again and they found some savings. Those savings amount to less than one-half of one-half of one-half of 1 percent of the total budget. We can't afford to be working in such small numbers. We have to begin to tackle the problems. I submit that we should put this budget back in, even in this \$7,000 proposal, amendment to fund the Library Commission, not cut the Library Commission 7,000 more dollars. Senator Warner said that it was going to go for microfilming. There will be some microfilming cut back. Well, we all know in the library business, I probably know less than anybody here, but microfilming is much less expensive than books. We are going to cut back in a cost savings...we are going to have a cost savings there by reducing our microfilming? Think about what you are doing. Even in this particular situation, we have