

in line 18, the term, and the term being seriously emotionally disturbed, I believe. It seems to be a circular definition. The seriously emotionally disturbed shall include children who are schizophrenic, but does not include children who are socially maladjusted, unless it is determined that such children are seriously emotionally disturbed. So, it seems to say that the term shall include children who are schizophrenic and those who are seriously emotionally disturbed, which is a redundancy. Unless they are going...see, either it seems to me that they are dealing with a psychological condition with the schizophrenic, a social condition in terms of the maladjustment, and then an emotional condition which is neither psychiatric...neither psychological or social. So, if socially maladjusted is different from seriously emotionally disturbed, how can a situation be reached where both of those things mean the same thing?

SENATOR VICKERS: Senator Chambers, that language does go around in a circle, to a great degree.

SENATOR CARSTEN: One minute.

SENATOR VICKERS: And, again, that is from the federal definition as well. But what it is attempting to get at is...and the key phrase I think in there is that it does not include children who are socially maladjusted. In other words, just because they are little bit of a juvenile problem does not necessarily make them a special education student.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, then why add, unless it is determined that such children are seriously emotionally disturbed?

SENATOR VICKERS: I think it is just to put in a little bit of empathy in case that young individual does, because of their delinquency, if you will, that that has, in fact caused them some educational problems, and it gives the school district a reason, if you will, to then treat them a little bit as well.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm going to run out of time this time, but I'm going to put my light on again.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Since you were the last light, why don't you continue and we'll start your time over again, Senator Chambers.