

SENATOR CARSTEN: Senator Wesely, did you have something more?

SENATOR WESELY: Just one last point. I think Senator Lynch raised a good point dealing with the medically indigent, which we've struggled with for two years in a task force, it is a big problem. I think we have to recognize it is a rural problem as well as an urban problem. But the last thing is by cutting out this money, this \$200,000, you will actually lose another 300,000 in federal funds, and next year when this is annualized the 500,000 you may think you are saving will actually lose an additional \$800,000 in federal funds, this money going into programs to help these people, again, that are hardest hit by the ag situation and adolescents that are very troubled.

SENATOR CARSTEN: The question is, shall the Wesely amendment to LB 1 be adopted? All those in favor will vote aye, those opposed vote no. We are voting on the Wesely amendment to LB 1. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 9 ayes, 25 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the Wesely amendment.

SENATOR CARSTEN: The Wesely amendment is not adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp would move to amend the bill. (DeCamp amendment appears on page 42 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CARSTEN: Senator DeCamp, on your amendment.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President and members, the amendment does two things. It does what we all talk about we have to do but that never seems to occur, that is phase out a specific program that costs money, in this case there are two programs, one is called the University of Nebraska Med Center at Omaha, 46 million, the other is the architectural school. The amendments would phase these two out over a period of three years with 5 million each for this year. I'm going to withdraw the amendment and print it in the Journal for tomorrow. I presume this bill will advance. But I want you to realize that this is the real kind of amendment and program cutting that you are talking about for next year. This is the honest to goodness reality. It isn't penny ante stuff, shifting stuff over to property tax. It isn't nickel and "diming" the retarded. It is actually reducing the scope of state government and asking yourself can you afford an architectural school or a law school,