

good. At the present time they are searching for a president of this organization, and they plan on paying him \$6,250 a month. So, if they did hire somebody that would only cost \$35,000 for the rest of the year, which would still leave them over \$600,000 to operate on for six months. So, my amendment all it does is gives them...it takes back 1 million of the 2 million they have, and leaves them with \$1 million for the next six months. It does not touch the \$2 million for '87-88. It just takes that 1 million and gives it back to us. It doesn't stop anything, it doesn't harm anything, it doesn't make anything smaller, making anything bigger, it just says we could use the \$1 million better than they can, so it asks for the \$1 million to be returned to the General Fund. That is what the amendment does, Mr. President. I ask for the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think Senator Haberman indicated to you that the money is not in the General Fund. So, if you reduce the appropriation I'm not exactly sure how you get it back. I understand maybe they could give it back, if they chose to do so, I guess. Well, I want to go one step further. We had some discussion about this, have had discussion about this fund and its invocation next year. Senator Haberman, I think, indicated there is anticipation that additional funds will be put in next year. Rather than attempt to make a change now, the assumption was that the Legislature could evaluate whether or not additional funds needed to be appropriated next time, or if they have not used much of the money, they obviously would maybe not need an additional 2 million next time if they have not committed or used the 1,700,000, or whatever...whatever they haven't used by June 30. That was the basis that...any discussion on it was that it was an issue that could be properly looked at during the '87 session and adjustment made at that time. Senator Haberman, you can address whether or not you think the money could be gotten back now. Perhaps there is a way they could give it back, if they wanted to, I guess.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. Speaker, Senator Warner, they've only spent 35,000, and they have 300,000 in checking...one in a checking account and two in accounts they can get their hands on. The other money they have invested. I have a verbal...I asked the Attorney General, verbally, could we get the money back? And they verbally said they thought so. And I understood that we are here to create revenue for this year, that is why we