

\$2 million out. I'd like to believe it wouldn't happen, but I would suggest that perhaps they might determine that more people can be served adequately, efficiently, economically by the termination of the school at Curtis. It has been said here earlier that it's easy to vote for cuts that are nonspecific because I can always go back home and tell my constituents, I never intended to vote for the elimination of the school at Curtis, I really was trying to get them to cut down on all that fat down there at Lincoln. The facts are, when the school at Curtis is gone, all the salty tears in the world are not going to bring it back. That's why I suggested that you review the proposals that I have offered you because those are specific, and if you cut an individual agency by an amount, then you need to take the responsibility for that vote. That is far more meritorious than to vote in the other manner. And so I want to make it plain, if there were some way that you could absolutely guarantee that the program at Curtis was going to be maintained, then you might have a little better point to argue. But I can guarantee you that even maintaining it for one year does not guarantee that it will stay there.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Half a minute.

SENATOR SCHMIT: You can start it up today, but it will be gone tomorrow. I see Senator Vickers over here. I'd like to ask him a question. Senator Vickers, do you really believe that if we take almost \$2 million out of the University of Nebraska that your district has a political clout to maintain the school at Curtis as against the eastern part of Nebraska here protecting the UN-L and UN-O and the medical school?

SENATOR VICKERS: No, of course not.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Thank you, Senator. Thank you also for not using a lot of my time in your answer. My case rests.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Thank you. Senator McFarland, then Senator Chambers.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I plan to support this motion on the amendment by Senator Chambers. What I am going to be saying is a little bit redundant because I agree with Senator Vard Johnson and Senator Wesely and Senator Nelson, what they've already said, but my plan to vote for it is also being reinforced by what points Senator Schmit just raised. Briefly, I don't believe there is any rational basis to