

would like to ask you. It really doesn't deal with it but since I have the time. On page 9, Program number 568, Highway Administration, Senator Warner, that Cash Fund program there. Are there permanent and temporary salaries that are paid out of that?

SENATOR WARNER: Highway cash...Program 568?

SENATOR HALL: 568, page 9 of the committee amendments.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, I assume that there is salaries in all of those programs, a limitation on salaries. Personal Services, Department of Roads is the accumulative total agency as a whole rather than program by program.

SENATOR HALL: Okay. Would those salaries be reflected in that dollar amount that is shown?

SENATOR WARNER: Pardon?

SENATOR HALL: Would those salaries be reflected in that dollar amount that is shown there?

SENATOR WARNER: I would assume they are.

SENATOR HALL: Okay. Can you tell me...

SENATOR WARNER: I would want to check for sure.

SENATOR HALL: Okay, assuming that they are, could you tell me why the language that is found in line 13 and 14, Cash Fund expenditure shall not be limited to the amount shown, follows not only that program but Program number 569 and Program number 571 and Program number 572. And then when we go over...we go to a different section we get into a couple other areas. You know, we have, for example, under the Program number 541, Museum Operations, there is language that says total expenditures for permanent and temporary salaries and per diem shall not exceed specific amount, or there shall be no personal service expenditures for state employees in the appropriation to this program. Why do we have that language, Cash Fund expenditures shall not be limited to the amount shown in the highway programs? And I don't know, maybe we do it in other areas of the budget. I know that this amendment is not reflective of the entire budget but I would just ask you that question.