

Rod Johnson. Lundy is here. Senator Lundy, will you punch your button, please. Senator Sieck. Senator McFarland, would you register your presence, please. Senator Nichol. Senator Sieck. Senator Sieck is the only one. John, do you want to... Okay. Proceed, Senator DeCamp, on your closing on your amendment.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President and members, I would like to ask Senator Rod Johnson a question.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Senator Johnson, will you respond?

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

SENATOR DECAMP: Senator Johnson, you said, your words, we are here, in this special session, to create a flow of money, credit again. Is that essentially correct?

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: That was my understanding for the call of this Legislature.

SENATOR DECAMP: And I agree with you 100 percent. That is your reason for being here, that is my reason for being here. I submit to you Senator Hoagland's reason, and some of the others, quite properly, is to hold on to LB 999 as much as possible. They contend there never was a credit problem in the first place. Remember? They contend it did no damage. Or have you all forgotten? Have you all forgotten that? If there is no problem, they didn't come here to solve that problem. Rod Johnson did. I did. Now, I'm ready for my next question, Senator Johnson.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Yes.

SENATOR DECAMP: My amendment, no matter what side of the issue you are on, no matter what you are going to vote, does my amendment create a greater flow of credit, or does it in any way at all restrict it?

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Senator DeCamp, first of all I think that in cases of marginal collateral, a farmer that is on a marginal basis, he probably is going to be asked to waive so that he can maximize his collateral base, I assume. So, yes, it probably will allow credit to flow again.

SENATOR DECAMP: Final question, Senator Johnson.