

would cut off credit just like you are saying to this group, but they chose to do it this way. So, fine, not only that, he said his original draft had the waiver so that if John DeCamp who has been dispossessed from his farm and wants to start out again, wants to go get a loan, he can waive it just like the big guy, because that is the only way you are going to get financing is by waiving this entire superstructure. But they have said, Mr. Average Farmer or Mr. Young Farmer, out of the University, you are not bright enough to know how to get into farming and we need to have a state law protecting you, and so until you come up with this pile of money that is more than anybody else so you have additional collateral because we are making your collateral ineffective with the law, until you do that, you can't get into farming. Senator Chambers says that is what he wants to do. Senator Chambers I assume believes it applies retroactively, too, the whole package, is that correct, Senator Chambers? I see that nodding smile. I don't think you can apply it retroactively, but I am saying for your future financing, you are taking one entire category, the category that might be the wellspring of new farmers in the future, that might be the wellspring of maintaining some part or semblance of a family farm system, you are shutting them out.

SENATOR CARSTEN: One minute.

SENATOR DECAMP: The same Legislature that doesn't want to do Initiative 300 repeal is going to shut the small farmer out from ever getting his financing in the first place. All I am trying to tell you is the previous system was a financing system that worked pretty decent. Now, Senator Chambers says if it works so good, and Senator Rod Johnson said if it works so good, then how come there is people broke. Well, criminy sakes, don't be dumb. The economics, the system, a lot of problems, and any financing system cannot guarantee that everybody is going to succeed. It is that attempt to guarantee that there can't be any failures that we keep trying to do with government here that guarantees we don't get much success. My proposal, my amendment simply goes back to what existed before 999.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Time.

SENATOR DECAMP: Will it be veto proof? I think so. If you adopted it here, you would have no choice actually, and you know it. They would override the veto. So I suggest you ought to do that.