

rather have the two signature deal that was going on and it was working, but because of a federal mandate here, we have to change it and I am going to accept it. But there is going to be some problems out there, and if the problems are out there, you are going to hear from those people and something will have to happen. But if there is a good educational system, it will work and I want to emphasize that. Be sure you get the notice out to the people. The grain suppliers know what it is all about but the farmers out there do not. They just do not. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Thank you, Senator Sieck. Mr. Clerk, do you have an amendment?

CLERK: Yes, sir, Mr. President, the first amendment I have to the bill is by Senator Vickers. Senator Vickers would move to amend. (See Vickers amendment on page 69 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Vickers, please.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, members, you have on your desk a copy of the amendment that I offer to this bill and I heard Senator DeCamp a little bit ago admonish us to be careful about amending the bill. I thought that was what we were here for. I thought that was part of what the responsibility we had as members of the Legislature was to be able to address legislation as it was going through. Possibly there might be some amendments that perhaps should be discussed on Select File as well, but on General File, at least I think some of the initial things had ought to be examined. Let me say first of all, as Senator Sieck just got through telling you, that I represent a lot of people that believe that the issue of double jeopardy is not a fair issue to start with, a lot of people who believe that people involved in agriculture are businessmen, just as well as whether or not you are selling food, corn, cattle, hogs, sheep, whatever, just as much as if you were selling overshoes, and that, therefore, that business had ought to be treated somewhat the same. Obviously, the decisions nationally and in this state have been that, no, we are not the same and, therefore, we are not treated the same as other businesses, therefore, our products when they sell do not sell free and clear of title, but there are other ways that are being established, and that is what the issue, LB 1, is all about. The amendment that I offer would set up a mechanism, change the mechanism that is in the bill. Right now under the bill if I have a lien on the property that I might have, and I sell part of the cattle, let's say, and