

A bill amendments to LB 7A. Now what is happening...Mr. Clerk, let me be sure that I understand. Senator Hoagland had four amendments up there that have now been withdrawn. Is that correct?

CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And so there will be one amendment as far as you know, at this point in time anyway, to the A bill and that amendment is the one that we are addressing at this time. Is that correct? (The Beutler amendment appears on Pages 219-20 of the Legislative Journal.)

CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Members of the Legislature, basically the A bill has...the amendment to the A bill will be the A bill and it has four different parts. It shows the financial figures for FY'68 (sic) and for FY'67 (sic) as the system would affect the Supreme Court, the Attorney General's Office, in both of those years and the figures are there on the sheet and you can see that primarily we are dealing with cash funds and federal funds and as far as General Funds are concerned we are talking about \$34,000 for the Supreme Court in one year and \$56,000 for the Supreme Court in one year and \$56,000 for the Supreme Court in FY'87. Those are the figures.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is the adoption of the Beutler amendment. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I just want to ask Senator Beutler a question for clarification if he would have the answer. I haven't had a chance to really study it but there is a lesser amount for the Supreme Court in FY1986 than in 1987, right? Why is that, if you can...he said it is partial year figures. Well, why would there be the same amount for the Attorney General during that period as in 1987?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler, would you set your microphone so we can hear for the record. Thank you.

SENATOR BEUTLER: As I understand it, Mr. Speaker and Senator Chambers, the Cash Fund is an estimate only and right now as far as the Fiscal Analyst is concerned,