

business practically that bids on any type of a building project. So for the record and for legislative intent, I think we should say independent contractor does not mean those who have a contract with general contractors. A baby-sitter is an independent contractor. She offers her services to baby-sit for your child five days a week and charge you \$10 a day. There is \$50 a week. She is not working for anybody else but she is drawing up a contract with you. She is independent of all other contractors. I think independent contractor should stay in this bill so that we do catch those people who really are in business for themselves, and once you take this out, you are taking out the man or the woman who is in business for themselves, and you can think of more. I have the Yellow Pages of the phone book here and I can think of a lot more. I can offer to come out to your house and wash all the windows. I am in business for myself. I am an independent contractor because I have contracted with you to wash all those windows for \$2 or \$3 a piece. It has nothing to do with the general contractor or building a house. And I think if ever there is any argument about this, as long as we leave this language in the bill, and as long as we are stating right here and now independent contractors is not to be determined in the sense of one who contracts with a general contractor, a builder, or a general contractor in the electrical business. You know you have general contractors in the electrical business that once they get a big job like out at Offutt Air Base they might contract with some smaller electricians but the point is the "garnisheement" of wages is going to go against the employer that employs that person.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR HIGGINS: So it would go against the electrician who has already contracted with the general electrical contractor, and let me say this, businessmen are not becoming collectors for child support. They do it all the time when people don't pay their payments on cars, they garnishee their wages; when they don't make their payment to the furniture store. It is the same as the garnishment laws that we have had on the books for years. They are already collecting taxes for the state. This would not be the same in any way, shape, or form. What you are doing is cutting tax expenses on child support. Thank you, Mr. President.