

then. That is why we are in the bind we are in now because we have had another session and the federal government has come out and said you have got to do this before '86, get this done. As for the late hour, I remember being here last year until seven-thirty waiting for this bill. I have been here sometimes at ten, eleven o'clock at night. I am here as a legislator to do my job, and to finish the job, and I, too, would like to adjourn. I, too, would like to go home, and when I get through here, I have a Miscellaneous Subjects Committee meeting to go to, but I am thinking about these people that need this child support and only a fool would say if I raise \$100 for you and let you keep \$90 of it, will you give me \$10, that is a pretty good bargain. Where else are you going to get a computer and have the federal government pay 90 percent of the cost. As I said before, we have reinstated \$54,000 of the budget cut to help the farmers in distress, and I thought that was a good thing, and I have been voting continuously to cut the budget, but on that one I thought these people deserve that. And in this one, I am willing to invest \$56,000 if it is going to bring us several million, which it does, and which it will continue to do only the amount we get our return will be greater. So I urge the adoption of this amendment, and then the advancement of the bill. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler please. Senator Marsh. Oh, Senator Beutler. You are under call, ladies and gentlemen. You should be in your seats.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, we are on one of the main amendments to the bill now. I am not sure that there is a very good representation on the floor. What this main amendment does, it does compromise out of necessity with the federal government. It does retain the possibility of a masters program but it is not like the old bill in the sense that it legislated masters, call them referees now, in Lancaster, Douglas, and Sarpy County. What the bill now says is that the Supreme Court, not the Legislature, the Supreme Court shall by rule determine whether child support referees are necessary in Douglas, Lancaster, and Sarpy Counties, necessary to meet the requirements relating to case progression standards established by the federal law. So instead of just creating outright these referees, there are two things that we do. One I just described to you. We ask the Supreme Court to analyze the situation and to tell us