

from the commercial building and he puts it away someplace where they will never get it. That is what could happen if you adopt this particular amendment. I think it is good law and the better judgment, the better social policy to let the judge take a look at the situation at such time in a person's life when they make a major, when they want to make a major transfer of real property of some sort, let the judge take a look at it and decide whether the security is going to be there. And if the judge wants to, he can require the guy to file a bond, or if he is buying other property, the lien can attach to the other property, and he will say, okay, no problem, there is other property that is subject to the lien. Or he can by agreement put certain property subject to a lien, put certain securities in escrow, a number of things that can be done. But if you change the law as Senator Johnson would suggest, then you are creating more possible loopholes and more ways people can get around paying child support. I hope that makes the situation clear to you. I don't think this would be good public policy at all.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Marsh, and then Senator Lundy.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature. I rise to oppose the amendment. When you buy an automobile and are paying for it over time, someone, even though you are current, still holds that lien until you have paid it in full. I think our children are worth an equal protection. I think our children deserve the right to have the lien, and whether or not it is current, aren't they worth as much as a car. Oppose the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Schmit, please.

SENATOR SCHMIT: (No response.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. President, and I won't belabor this point. As I said last week when I talked to Senator Johnson, this was an idea that was brought to me by another party and I thought it was fair and I still think it is fair except when I made that suggestion I did not know that they were going to strike lines 22 through 26 of this bill. So inasmuch as that has already been taken out of the bill, I think Senator Marsh made the point beautifully, if