

another house or collection of cars, those little children from the first marriage, they get the shaft, and the second marriage gets all the money. I had proposed such an amendment to make this fair, that the lien would only attach if they became delinquent, and I thought Senator Johnson was going to introduce that amendment and then, I don't know, I guess there was a mix-up or something. The last time I heard he said perhaps I could. I didn't know this amendment was going to come along to just wipe the slate clean so the taxpayers could pick up the tab for the first family. So in this case, I would have to speak against this amendment and urge you to vote against it unless you want your constituents to leave a legal, big gaping legal loophole for those people who don't want to support their children from a first marriage and be able to put everything away in a second marriage and not be touched. It is a legal loophole and it is one that is going to cost the taxpayers money if we adopt it. So on this one, I appreciate all the work Senator Beutler has done on this bill and these amendments, but I don't agree with this one and I am not sure Senator Beutler does. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hoagland, please.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Let me just make three points, Mr. Speaker and colleagues, in support of this amendment, I think it is important that we adopt it. We did not, contrary to a statement made earlier, we did not have an opportunity to consult with the Nebraska Bar Association on this because it was such short notice. We did, however, through staff consult with a prominent Lincoln attorney who has had a great deal of experience in these areas who recommends unequivocally that this language be removed because it is going to create a great deal of legal difficulties down the road. That is point number one. Point number two is that if the husband following his second marriage does attempt to secrete property through fraudulent conveyances or whatever, that can be set aside. If you go in and tell the judge that that is what is going on, he will not allow a child support lien to be voided on that basis. Let me just make one comment in connection with that. At the time of the divorce, both attorneys acquire pretty much complete knowledge, if they are thorough attorneys, of the assets of the husband and the assets of the wife. If two or three years later some of these assets have suddenly disappeared because the husband has conveyed them to the new