

thinking of the body as a whole has been in the past that resolutions do not fall within the category that we ordinarily think of as being within the call of the Governor of a special session. So, I, personally, would like to avoid such resolutions as this, not that I object to this resolution, but resolutions of consequence and of merit in a special session. But perhaps with the timeliness of this particular resolution, it might be that this is the time to talk about this one instead of next year because perhaps at that time it would be untimely and worthless. So, Senator Carsten, I would suggest that should we, as a body, decide not to handle resolutions in the future at special sessions, perhaps we could discuss that at our rules meeting next Thursday. Continue, Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: If I may, Mr. President, I think that we are discussing and Senator DeCamp has been one of the key ones in making a discussion of what is within a call and what is not. Perhaps we do need to address that in our rules to really be specific and spell out in detail what is and is not within the call. And I'll only raise the question that resolutions should be included in that observation and analysis when we get to that point. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Thank you. Is there an amendment on the desk, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, the amendment that was technically offered last time is offered by Senators Beutler, Schmit, Vickers and Rogers. It is on page 101 of the Journal.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler, were you going to discuss the amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, we are on the amendment, technically, are we not?

CLERK: Now.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: We were not before?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Technically, Senator Beutler, we gave Senator DeCamp an opportunity to discuss his resolution to