

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, is there an Attorney General's opinion on LB 29?

PRESIDENT: There was...LB 29 was included in a previous Attorney General's Opinion.

SENATOR DECAMP: What does that say?

PRESIDENT: Someone from the floor will have to say it, won't they? The letter, on page 48 of your Legislative Journal, dated October 24, states at that time LB 29 is beyond the scope of the call.

SENATOR DECAMP: I think that answers the question.

PRESIDENT: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, the situation has changed. What has been done is LB 1, clearly inside the call, has had language put into it, intent language that contains the program for the Auditor's Office. LB 29 now effectuates the very budgetary interest which is inside the call. Yes, we need a new message from the Attorney General, but I would suggest that the hand wringing that says we are without power shows a lack of spirit. I would suggest to the body to be bold, to do our business of making laws, and only if and when there is a clear declaration in this situation that we are now beyond the call in the new circumstance that we forego it. The question is before the Attorney General. It will come back to us as well. We shouldn't freeze our feet with cold water on General File. There is plenty of time to raise a timely objection in the event the Attorney General renews the objection to 29. I think the new circumstances justify us taking action on General File.

PRESIDENT: Senator Higgins, did you wish comment? Senator Pappas.

SENATOR PAPPAS: Yes, Mr. President. If you do, by chance, rule that this is within the call, would it be permissible to ask for a recess for a couple of days so all the other bills that were originally ruled outside the call, before circumstances changed, that we can go back and ask for a new Attorney General's opinion on those and the possibility of