

economic problems. Obviously, any other source of revenue, at least in the sales tax area, would tend to fall on those individuals as well and at least this is limited to the income tax side in that it doesn't add further tax burden to those already in a financial loss position. Those three reasons it seems to me it is appropriate to use the retroactive tax for 1985. The other thing that I like about it is the concept that we are still going to be under a great deal of pressure by limiting it to '85 come the '86 session. The figures that we were using passed out to you the other day indicated that as the budget now stands and as it was advanced today for the current fiscal year is about \$811 million. Next year what we would have available assuming a 5 percent reserve is \$814 million, 3 million more, and from that there is still approximately \$22 million of obligations such as the Special Ed, such as the third year phase in of the state takeover of county Medicaid, some other obligations that will have to be met. So what we do here during this special session if this was all enacted, we are still going to be looking at somewhere around 17 or \$18 million of potential program elimination at the current levels of tax rates during the year...during the regular session in '86. Really, all we are doing is providing some beginning balance, in a sense, for 1986, plus being able to better manage the state finances. So I would urge that the bill be advanced providing this opportunity through this bill if those who have concern about a new bill and those who prefer a new bill, we can do that then too. As I indicated earlier, I would not have attempted to proceed with this bill were it not for some who indicated a concern about supporting a new bill and would support this one, I really think we ought to provide some time while you sort out in your mind in the final analysis which approach you wish to support.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Point of order, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Yes, sir. I believe Senator Warner made the remark that he would like to have both bills advanced. Is that correct? Senator Warner, are you asking that we advance two bills?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Haberman, I indicated a personal