

this basically is the same one but it is a different text. The Legislature would be precluded from considering other budgetary or taxing measures than those specifically addressed in the call, referring to the first call. The purpose of raising revenues other than those measures specifically set forth in the call and any other budgetary actions are simply not germane to the specific purposes for which this session was called. So the Attorney General has said it's...you're not listening, Mr. Speaker, are not within the call. Nobody is listening so I might as well quit. It's not within the call. If we pass it, you are going to court and you are going to lose. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Abboud, please.

SENATOR ABOUD: Mr. President, colleagues, I think this issue, what we are talking about here has kind of gotten muddled up. My belief is that we are taking three different issues and meshing them all together and I think it is confusing the body as well as the people that are watching along the sides there. The first issue that we are dealing with that we talked about prior to LB 10 coming up was whether, in fact, the Governor could expand the call. It was my belief as well as Senator DeCamp's, Senator Chambers', Senator Haberman's, that the Governor could not, in fact, expand that call. But now we have taken it into a second realm and that is whether LE 10 should be expanded or should be sent from General File to Select and that is almost an entirely different issue. It is an entirely different issue completely. My belief is that if there is an amended call and if it is proper for the Governor to have an amended call, then I think we ought to start from base one, just have a hearing, tell the public about it, let them know that there is going to be an income tax that is going to be a legitimate income tax bill before this body and have the hearing and then if the members of the Revenue Committee so choose, then they can vote the bill up to the floor. And that is what I feel is the second issue and I guess that is fine if the Chairman of the Revenue Committee so chooses to have a hearing, he can do that and if a bill is presented before him. Now we are also involved in a third issue and that is whether, in fact, we should have an income tax increase. Now I consider that issue separate than the other two, whether, in fact, we are going to have an expansion of the call and then the second issue of LB 10 being legitimately able to move from General File to Select. I am