

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, a point of order, you are ruling we are now operating under the "amended proclamation", is that correct? Okay, then, I would just like to register so I don't lose a legal point, I think the proper time if I wanted to object to the income tax under that theory, the theory of the amended proclamation as opposed to our inherent power, would not be now, it would be when the issue comes up, and I agree with you completely, let's stay here and get our business but I will not make the objection now but I want to preserve the right by making note of it. I think the proper time would be when and if it comes up, the income tax, then I would maybe raise the issue.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes, I would say that is correct. With that, Mr. Clerk, where were we this morning, we were on number one, weren't we, LB 1.

CLERK: Yes, sir.

SPEAKER NICHOL: And we had a new motion to be presented.

CLERK: Yes, sir. Mr. President, the next amendment for consideration on LB 1 is by Senators Pirsch and Chizek. Senator, this is the discretionary fund, Central Administrative Services, I think at the University. (The Pirsch and Chizek amendment appears on pages 135-36 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Pirsch, please.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The purpose of this amendment is to eliminate the provision authorizing the Board of Regents to determine how \$1,599,052 of General Fund appropriations which would be allocated to the Central Administrative...Central Administration instead of to the campuses of the University of Nebraska at Lincoln, the University of Nebraska at Omaha or the University of Nebraska Medical Center. This amendment does call attention to the fact that we have said there will be a 2 percent across-the-board cut on our universities, but that is not entirely accurate. There is a 2 percent across-the-board cut but there also is an additional 1 percent at each campus...