

November 6, 1985

well to where it was ready to go and, quite frankly, I will tell you the rest of the story. The rest of the story is there were enough votes, maybe there still are, there were enough votes to do the income tax without ever having the Governor do this. If anything, this may have confused the situation to where now you can be beaten on it but the votes were here to do what you wanted on income tax without ever doing this. Senator Warner was going to make his motion. Senator Nichol was going to rule it out of the call. There was enough votes to override and rule it within the call. That would have been the balance of the afternoon till all this happened and I am not sure where we are going from this point. Maybe sine die isn't the worst thing in the world.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner has not talked and Senator Pirsch has not talked. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Mr. President, I only rise one time to, if there is a motion for sine die, I would hope it would be voted down and I would hope that rather than react today to what we may feel or perceive or know as to Attorney General's Opinions, let's wait until we get one so we have something specifically to look at and I assume there must be something in writing to the Governor. It seems a little bit...well, the thought occurs to me, we have two approaches technically before us. The real issue isn't which bill you use, it is whether or not it is necessary to do some prudent planning for the State of Nebraska's economic support or revenue support of state government for the current year and '86-87. That is the issue. I don't want to have to come back to a whole new session. I am no different...I am sure there is others in the same position I am. It has been a very expensive three weeks for me. I understand it is part of our job and I don't complain about it but I don't want to flippantly throw the financial sacrifice that I know many are making just on a whim, or even if it is out of a sincere feeling, it don't make any difference. I just urge that we stay in session, we proceed with what we are doing. The new bill will I assume have a public hearing. There is lots of time to consider the legal issues if they are there but I would truly hope we don't just decide, well, we are going to stop now and start all over again with spur of the moment reactions. It was true, Senator Lamb, that there was a change in the call back in I guess it was '82. I did not even recall that but the change in the order was made before the session convened, if that was significant or not, I