

November 6, 1985

and north to south in Lincoln. It will bounce to Omaha. it will bounce and bounce and people will get all riled up about the cuts, and then they will start to push and crowd and feel and threaten, and then I will relent at the last moment and cut everything the 2 percent. Now why should I do this? Ah ha, I can take that new rooster and say now what are you going to do? You don't have enough money. You are going to have to have an income tax increase. So what happens? They sit, and the new rooster says that old rooster outfoxed me. I had better call and put that on the special session to have an income tax increase because, quite frankly, fellow senators, the old fox has boxed us and boxed the new young rooster in so beautiful we are going to discuss and I hope to God we don't pass an income tax increase. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Has Senator Schmit returned? Senator Abboud. Senator Vard Johnson, why don't you take it up and we will call on Senator Abboud right after you. Switch you around.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I was going to call the question. I was going to suggest we end the debate.

SPEAKER NICHOL: And, then, in that case, let's go back to Senator Abboud and let him talk.

SENATOR ABOUD: Mr. President, colleagues, I rise to discuss one particular point and that point is the belittling of the Nebraska Constitution which I have heard on the floor of the Legislature here in these last few moments. I agree with Senator DeCamp. Senator DeCamp read what the Constitution said. It said but it didn't deal at with this particular expansion of the call and my belief is that the Constitution fairly well states what we should be following. But just because nobody objects when there is violations of the Constitution doesn't mean that a violation has not in fact taken place. We have other things in our Constitution, in our United States Constitution dealing with freedom of speech, freedom of religion. Just because someone makes violations of freedom of speech doesn't in fact mean that these laws don't exist, which doesn't mean that you can't have litigations to prevent the future violations of these laws. So just because some individuals in times past, prior to the time I was in the body, failed to object to violations of the Constitution doesn't mean