

November 6, 1985

read the Attorney General's Opinion, he clearly said that it was not in the call but pointed out that it could be argued that under the law authorizing the Legislature to set sales-income tax rates, nothing more, just rates, could be considered constitutional, and I presume there would be a court test. That route is still there. If the call is expanded, there will be a new bill introduced. Senator Johnson and I both signed it. That I assume could have a court test too. So you can kind of choose which court test you want, I guess. My personal position is that, you know, it is right under either avenue. It probably is okay, but as far as how we proceed now, as to how we proceeded up to this point, I assume that the Reference Committee will request an opinion and will be guided by that in terms of reference, and if the Attorney General comes back and says, yes, we believe this system is right, it is constitutional, you know, then I am willing to stay with that. And if there is a court test and the Governor and the Attorney General is proved wrong, why then that is where we are. But I think we, at least as a matter of procedure, need to follow with what the Attorney General's opinion says relative to expansion of the call just as we have up to this point. When LB 10 came up, it was going to be ruled out of order, outside the call. I was going to argue it wasn't. I may or may not have been successful. Others have indicated they would argue in the same basis. It seems to me in the final analysis either course is still available to us. It would seem to me the more prudent thing would be to go along with the expanded call at this point. It would seem to me less hazard than there is with using LB 10.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, Mark Twain once said there is nothing so irritating as a good example. If you will recall back to the days, and, John, as one of the chief holders of the institutional memory of this body, Senator Chambers, Senator Warner, Senator Carsten, if you will remember back to the dark days of 1982 when Charlie Thone called into session this Legislature because of a need to make arrangements because of a declining tax base, to have some budget cuts, and he sent out the call to the Legislature, come forth and make laws. And then Charlie got it into his mind that it was necessary to consider the topic of tax increases and he amended the call, 1982. As a matter of fact, he had done