

November 6, 1985

about and being concerned about this and that, let's take it for what it is. It is a chance for us to deal with the problem in the way in which we have said, I think a majority of us have said, we would like to have the chance to deal with it. I think we ought to be happy about the situation and let's move on with the business at hand and get the thing done.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp, then Senator Warner.

SENATOR DECAMP: Well, Senator, I would like to address Senator Wesely's statements. Senator Wesely, with all due respect, you have completely misstated the objections I think of every member here with respect to the restraints. The objections were that the Governor was trying to hamstring us into only a very limited area and not allowing us to deal with the entire issue as we felt was proper, whether it be new revenue sources, whether it be reductions, whether it be income tax increases, any of these things. This latest thing is merely an example and an extension of this hamstringing. It says, okay, now I have got you trapped on this point and I am going to veto possibly these cuts unless you do this specific tax increase which two weeks ago he was saying was not allowed and was repudiating. At what point do we become frustrated and at what point does he become absolutely silly looking on this matter. This is a restriction. This says you can only do this little thing now that I want, this latest thing. So it is another handcuff that tries to order us to pass a tax increase. Some of us came here with the intention to make cuts and deal with the issue that way and deal with the rest of the balance of the picture if it is still there in January. Now we are being told and attempted to be forced into a position where you do cuts, and if you want your cuts to hold up, you also do a tax increase. So, yeah, we are being hamstrung and I want to read you the Constitution, Senator Wesely, and you tell me whether this is argument over, to quote Senator Johnson, angels dancing on the head of pin. It says, "The Governor may, on extraordinary occasions convene," in other words we have been brought in, "convene the Legislature by proclamation, stating therein the purpose for which they are convened, and the Legislature shall enter upon no business except that for which" and these final words, "were called together." Now if you take the other approach that he can come here day by day, put a little comma here and change it, put a new sentence there, you have completely abrogated and