

November 6, 1985

rather narrowly described there, it really doesn't deal too much with the Governor in what he can and can't do, and there really isn't a lot of case law on the matter either, but what little case law there is I feel justifies the assumption that he can't redefine the special session. So I rise to support Senator Chambers, Senator DeCamp, Senator Haberman in their belief that the Governor cannot and should not redefine the special session once it has been established. And probably more important my belief as why the Governor shouldn't get reinolved in the special session is that it reestablishes the proper set of balances that existed at this time between the judicial branch, the legislative branch, and the executive branch. By allowing the Governor to come in, to step into this Chamber and tell us what we are going to do, he, in essence, reestablishes his veto power. The next time, let's just say he comes back and he says, well, I don't really like this legislation that you are talking about doing here in the special session, so before the bill comes up on Final Reading, he redefines the special session one more time, only this time he clutches away the type of legislation that we have been adopting all the way through. I think this type of an approach that the Governor is taking is dangerous. I think it will result in litigation and I don't feel that we should go along with the Governor on this particular moment because it will be reestablishing our role as a legislative body and I think us, the 49 senators in here, be they Republican or Democrat, Independent, should stand as one body unified and say to the Governor, be he Democrat at this time or Republican in the future or Independent in the future, whoever, that this is a body, that we establish our own rules, and we have been elected by the people across this state to establish the laws of this state, and that the Governor should if he so sees fit that the laws that we pass aren't proper, he has the prerogative to veto them once they have left this room. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Remmers, then Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR REMMERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I will try not to be too redundant of the things that have been said but I want to add my position to this. Last week we had a motion on the floor that only received 5 votes. I didn't get a chance to speak to that motion but I was one of the 5 votes that voted to adjourn sine die and I still think that would have been the best thing to do because we were