

November 6, 1985

consistent with our previous comments, that we do not believe that the scope of the call is broad enough for the Legislature to consider any bills standing alone which would raise the sales and income tax rates." He goes on further to say, "Given the restrictive view which our Supreme Court has taken in interpreting the scope of the Governor's call for a special session, we cannot conclude that such an action would be upheld if challenged in a lawsuit as beyond the scope of the call. Thus, the more prudent course of action, should the Legislature subsequently determine after exhausting the items on the call that a sales or income tax increase is warranted, would be for the Legislature to petition the Governor under Article 50-125 for a special session for that purpose. This would clearly avoid any court challenges that could leave the matter in a state of uncertainty for a matter of months if not years." There is an Attorney General's opinion in October saying that this is beyond the call. We shouldn't take it up. If you take it up, you are being fools because it is going to end up in the courts and it could be in the courts for months and for years and I can tell you now that there is a lawsuit being prepared to be filed in the courts the minute if and when this is taken up on the income tax. I can assure you that the legal work is being prepared now and it will be filed and the Attorney General says this would clearly avoid any court challenges if we did it this way that would leave the matter in a state of uncertainty for a matter of months, if not years. So we would be completely asinine to accept this as within the call. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Abboud, then Senator Remmers.

SENATOR ABBOUD: Mr. President, colleagues, I also wrote an Attorney General's...asked the Attorney General for an opinion dealing with the special session on Friday, October 25th, and I asked him specifically if the Governor could redefine the special session once it has been called. He gave me an answer back, the same answer as Senator DeCamp's, basically. He said it was a hypothetical situation and that it hadn't occurred so he wasn't going to give me an answer. At that time, I felt that he really wasn't being honest and straightforward about it because I felt that the situation was going to occur even two weeks ago and my belief is that the Governor is overextending his bounds. I feel that the Governor can't redefine the special session once it has been established, looking both at the Constitution, which is