

through court. You keep title on property clouded so that anybody trying to do business is really obstructed. The purpose was to put a big hammer, and it did put a big hammer. It did put a big hammer on Papa or whoever had to pay the child support. At the same time I'm not sure it's a fair hammer at some point. I think there are better ways and as I say, the better ways include a system, maybe like is in the bill, I don't know, a system whereby somebody gets paid for doing all this paperwork, for going through these procedures and taking the time to collect this child support. If you go through the procedures you can get it, but there is no incentive for a county attorney to go through them at the time. There is no incentive for a clerk of the district court. It is absolutely the most disgusting hard work, time consuming without reward that they are going to find and they are going to find ways to get out of it just like we're going to find ways, as legislators, to get out of the most disgusting bills that we have to deal with, so on. Same thing. So unless you find somebody who gets paid and is going to do the paperwork, you're not going to get it done, but I am supporting Vard's amendment because I think we've got it too complicated for trying to clear title for the average person.

PRESIDENT: Senator Higgins, are you present? Senator Nelson is next and then Senator Schmit and Beutler. Senator Higgins.

SENATOR HIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. President. I've been visiting with Senator Vard Johnson about this amendment and I have been doing a survey among people who have recently bought and purchased homes in the last six months. One of them that answered my survey brought up this very problem that Senator Johnson is talking about where a husband and wife wanted to sell their home, but because it is already in the law, what he is striking here is already in the law, that when a man remarries and he has to pay child support even though he is current on his child support, there is an automatic lien put on the property he acquires in that second marriage. Now to me, if a man or a woman is already meeting their obligations and paying that child support every month, I don't see it as fair that you have an automatic lien every time you acquire property. So I would say this amendment I could go along with, so long as it's understood or we amend the amendment on Select File if it isn't in the bill, that if that spouse becomes delinquent in