

saying the problem is an enforcement mechanism. If you want to use the private system, there is not enough money in it that private lawyers want to do it. On really big numbers you might get private lawyers involved. When you get in the typical case, the lower income, and by lower I mean maybe average income what we are talking about, when you get in those cases it is not in the interest of a typical lawyer to go through the collection procedures. The same reason you don't use a horse in most cases to plow a field, you use a tractor because it is more productive. You don't waste your time on these kind of cases. The theory was under the federal law and all the state laws that have been passed, okay, we will put that duty on the local officials. They put the duty on the local officials but so what, you can put a thousand duties on somebody but unless there is a good reason and incentive or a threat they are not going to do it. I am not blaming the local officials, I am blaming the mechanism of the law. Something is wrong. We have to get a better enforcement mechanism. We have got to get something that makes somebody want to do it, that has an incentive. They get paid for it even if it is exorbitant. You have got to get some change there because you can pass every law on the books but unless you have somebody going through it and doing it and taking the time and enforcing it, which I guess is somewhat the theory of this bill, by the way, you are going to get nowhere. As for the amendment that Senator Johnson has, I happen to agree with Senator Johnson. And I will use examples because I have watched them. Here is a father and a mother, average, middle class, upper class, whatever you want, they've had a divorce, three or four or five kids. Papa found a little gal that was younger and had more ponies under the hood after he'd been married 20 or 15 years and so he marries here and there is resentment. Now papa is completely current on child support. Papa also isn't just buying a house. He has lots, he buys and sells real estate, he does different things like that. No matter what, he has to go through the court procedure on every single piece of property. Now, the typical situation, and I've seen it in half a dozen different families of just what I am describing, papa is current on child support, but the 15 year old girl needs braces on the teeth and somehow or other papa doesn't want to buy the additional braces. That wasn't in the child support, wasn't part of the deal. Mamma needs to put a little leverage on him. She is just a little slow in signing the agreement saying he is current. She has to sign, remember? Even after she signs you still go