

of the cases I am working on now where you don't need this kind of legislation to do something about it. A lady had a judgment...well, she had a decree with an amount of support she was to receive, she was not receiving it and she got married again. So when she went to the child support enforcement people, you know what they did? They wanted personal information on her income, her husband's income, her expenses. Those issues are irrelevant. The amount is set. The man had not been paying it. He should have to pay it but when she went to those whose job it was to enforce it they wanted to pry into her personal business so here she comes to me. Well, with this law I can tell her, no, I am not going to help you. Uh uh, they fixed it, you don't have to worry about that any more. There was a lady whose husband was at Offutt. He is an officer, made tons of money. He had a child support obligation and he ran to Texas. She happened to have been from Austria. He tricked her into marrying him. He is an all-American guy, upstanding and all those foreign women want to marry an American, so she married him, he dragged her over here, gave her some babies and ran off and didn't want to pay his child support. So guess who had to intervene and help pursue him all the way to Texas and helped her get her child support? I believe men should pay their child support. I have had to pay child support and I always paid more than what I was required. They are my children. They are my responsibility. The court decrees to make sure that a man does the minimum and it should not be the sum total of his obligation for his children. So the things I am saying are not against trying to get child support collections. I am against creating the impression that something is there which is not. The same people who are refusing to enforce these matters now are going to be the ones under this bill. So it is a lot of talk. It is a lot of nonsense and this bill would not be before you if the federal government hadn't said, do it. This didn't originate with the welfare department. The federal government said, do it. So now all of a sudden all of these people have jumped into line and said, this is such a great thing, such a great idea as though it hatched out in their head and they had been working on it all the time. Senator Johnson's amendment is designed to let a scoundrel escape and a "scoundrelless" escape. When a man marries a woman, he takes her for better or for worse, and when a woman marries a man, she takes him for better or for worse. These two are to be one flesh even when it comes to debts and obligations and she knows he has