

later. The part of the bill in Section 40, now, as I understand, the county attorney or authorized attorney which we are creating is the one that, on the top of page 27 of the one that I have got, that would conduct a hearing on whether or not an employer was violating that section. Now doesn't that seem rather odd since that is the same attorney who...or the same procedure that is conducting the action against the individual employee for not paying his child support anyway. I guess what I am asking is, how can the county attorney or an authorized attorney who is going after the individual for not paying their child support then turn around and be the one protecting that individual between their employer?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Protecting the individual?

SENATOR VICKERS: Yes, because that is the section that says the employer cannot take discriminatory action...disciplinary action and termination, and so forth, against the employee.

PRESIDENT: Your time has expired.

SENATOR VICKERS: Doesn't it seem like we are using the same attorney to protect him and go after him at the same time?

SENATOR BEUTLER: It is not as bad as the Commonwealth situation, Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: I'll ask more questions...(interruption).

SENATOR BEUTLER: Let me talk to you about that because I don't think you are...I don't think you are reading it right and so...

PRESIDENT: Your time has expired, Senator Vickers.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...we can discuss that technicality.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Rogers, then Senator Barrett.

SENATOR ROGERS: Mr. President and members, Senator Beutler, I have another question. I have been involved several times over the past years where wages have been garnished by someone that has a claim against somebody that works for me.