

SENATOR ABOUD: Yes, Senator Wesely, is this the bill that came before the Government Committee?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

SENATOR ABOUD: How would this...by inserting this language your bill would be within the call?

SENATOR WESELY: We have requested an AG opinion. It is our understanding with this part of the language we are talking about in the bill it would be under the budget authorization under the call, so we could deal with the problem. You remember the problem with the lawsuits. So, yes, we think we could deal with that bill with this amendment.

SENATOR ABOUD: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, did you wish to close?

SENATOR WARNER: I move for the adoption, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is the adoption of the Warner amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. I might suggest to the members you are going to have to stick around a little closer, since several have been excused, so that we can proceed with the business at hand. Please vote if you care to. Please vote if you care to, ladies and gentlemen. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Warner's amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The Warner amendment is adopted. Next one, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment is by Senator Warner as well. (Second Warner amendment is found on page 110 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, this also is a committee amendment. It could have probably been an E & R amendment, but we felt it would be better if it was adopted. Because of the way LB 1 was drafted and because of the way the amendments have been drafted as amendments to LB 722, repeal sections, there is some boiler plate language in the back of the regular appropriation bill that is not currently in the