

SENATOR HALL: Senator Warner, the \$19,442, now would that eliminate the park altogether, or just the...

SENATOR WARNER: No.

SENATOR HALL: What does that do?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Hall, as I recall the request by the committee for the Game and Parks Commission that identified things that might happen, this was one of their proposals. The reason that they identified it as such was that, if I...I believe it is correct, that the individual or individuals currently there are about retirement age, or will be retiring shortly. In part they were thinking in terms of part-time people rather than replacing those people permanently with permanent positions. But I think what Senator Lamb is proposing doesn't change anything particularly.

SENATOR HALL: Sure, I understand that. Thank you very much, Senator Warner. In other words, there won't be any reduction in the service that is provided, necessarily?

SENATOR WARNER: The potential was to have part-time rather than full time, so I assume that that means some reduction in service because it won't be a full-time service.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you very much. So, really in essence there won't be any drastic effect on what takes place at Victoria Springs if this amendment is not adopted and if this position is cut. Parks will probably still be cut, the park will stay there. I imagine the trash will still be picked up. It just means that there will be part-time help at Victoria Springs instead of the \$19,400 for the permanent staff member who is going to retire and receive social security and continue to probably live in a very beautiful part of the State of Nebraska. I would urge the body to reject Senator Lamb's amendment. I think...Senator Lamb, were you here the other day when we talked about the Game and Parks and had the amendment up at that time? Do you happen to recall?

SENATOR LAMB: I don't remember exactly what you are referring to.