

can get it within your budget. That is what we've done. That was the philosophy behind the original cut. Now a little more philosophy on the issue and then I'll be quiet. The reason why I'm disappointed with the chambers of commerce and the misinformation, as a matter of fact, from some members of the University and some members of the department itself is because I think it is a sad thing that the people of the state are being told that your Legislature and your Appropriations Committee are anti-economic development. It is a sad thing if they say that this department is going to fall apart with these cuts because the facts are that they are not. They have almost \$2 million in there and it is all within one program, Program 604, that can be moved around from subprogram to subprogram. The fact that they label these to a certain program has nothing to do with what they can do and what they cannot do.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Half minute.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: They can find the \$60,000 very easily if they want to support that program. As a matter of fact we will support, as a committee, the amendment to designate the center to be there because I think it is a viable center. We will not support the fund cut. When we get to the indirect cost fund, I have some more information I would like to talk to you about.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp on the \$60,000 deal.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, I believe that moment has arrived when the Legislature, and particularly the Lincoln senators, particularly Senator Wesely should say the cake is baked, the budget has gone about as far as you're going to go in doing this, doing that. The University and the Lincoln senators have a deep interest in it, have won a reasonable compromise, the two percent. I've watched it and I'm sure some of the members like Senator Warner, Senator Carsten, who have been here awhile, have watched these things in the past. You can take your cake and you can burn it and lose the whole doggone thing. It is not that far away from being possible because as you start to add \$60,000 here, and then a million over there on another matter that is going to be hard to vote against, you start making the thing fall apart. If it is two percent here is good, two percent over there is good, I think it is time to say you