

Nursing, Pharmacy, Curtis. By and large they are free standing. You cannot abolish the College of Arts and Sciences to support the college for every course there is. Well, let's deal with the Institute of Agriculture. You cannot abolish the Department for Entomology. You cannot demolish the Agronomy Department or the Animal Husbandry Department because they are all integral support elements for the overall purpose of that college. So unfortunately we're looking at abolishing something at some time when you want to reduce the scope and none of us like any of the choices when they have to be made. I suppose the next place they look is you reduce the number of graduate programs. That's logical and that possibility would exist. That, too, has the spin-off of adverse effects. If you're going to reduce, eliminate an advanced degree in Animal Husbandry, it will follow that in time you will also reduce the effectiveness of that department because one of the reasons you have retained people for research purposes for teaching is because of a graduate program as a part of that institution. So those make some very unfortunate choices, the choices, nevertheless, that we're asking those responsible for higher education to do. I would hope you would support the 2 percent level. It reduces, somewhat, the pressure of abolishing some very needed programs. The difference might be enough, hopefully it will be enough, that they can do the trim and skim approach to get us through for now.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Excuse me, Senator Warner. Senator Haberman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HABERMAN: I have a point of order, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: State your point, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: My point is this. I would like to have Senator Warner explain to the body as to who decides where the funds are cut, the Board of Regents or the University of Nebraska and each individual university.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Thank you. Continue, Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: So, where I was, the fact of whether or not whole programs have to go is directly related to the total dollar amount reduction we're asking. I think with the 2 percent there is a better probability, a better