

pressure upon the Legislature to say, you're ruining the University. So I wanted to bring to the attention of this body that this professor is the only one in the article that is jumping the ship, not members. It also goes on to say, in the last five years only three professors have left the History Department for better paying jobs. Well, who wouldn't leave for a better paying job? I'd leave for a 60 percent increase. So I guess what I am trying to say is I don't know what happened in the last 24 hours or two days to have the Appropriations Committee completely switch their position, but if there is a maneuver going on this floor to make up the difference by cutting political subdivisions, I'll not be a part of it because the Appropriations Committee, all due respect, made a decision and now they have changed.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Mr. Clerk, you have something on your desk. Oh, I thought you had an amendment. All right. Senator Hannibal, please, and then Senator Landis.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I rise to support the committee's amendment. Senator Warner has enumerated some of the reasoning of the committee and I think it is only right that some of the committee stand up and verify some of the reasoning that went on and I was pleased that the Chairman did point out the last couple of weeks the turmoil that we've had to try to arrive at our decision. And with regard to Senator Haberman's question or his cautioning that we have changed our mind, I would remind the Senator that we have done that on many occasions in this body, and when information comes to you that is more recent and more factual, that it is a wise man that does do that as opposed to stick to a stubborn area, especially if there is new information and new thought processes going on. I want to take just one minute though to reiterate what we as an Appropriations Committee did with regards to the University and state colleges budgets and the intent that we applied to this particular cut and to the original cut of 3 percent and, as a matter of fact, to the proposed cut some time ago of 1.5 percent voluntary cuts. We, as a committee, went on record rejecting the list that the Chancellors and the President brought to us that they would submit as their