Senator DeCamp is interested in, but he should argue, as I would argue, that with the kind of issues we are dealing with the raising of the income tax falls within the call too regardless of what the Attorney General has said. The Supreme Court, in arrogating to itself what it calls inherent judicial authority, not based on a direct grant of power in the Constitution, had to support that position by saying that when the government was separated into three branches there are certain powers inherent in those branches to do the things that those branches are set out to do. So in the same way that there are certain things which, unless the Constitution says the courts cannot do, they are going to do under the doctrine of inherent judicial powers, there are certain things inherent in the legislative power, authority, which the Legislature can do unless prohibited specifically by the State Constitution. The State Constitution does not give us any power, it is a limit on what the Legislature can do. A state legislature is absolutely limitless in terms of what it can do as long as it doesn't violate the U.S. Constitution. The State Constitution, if it does not specifically say the Legislature, under certain circumstances, cannot consider revenue issues, I think whenever the Legislature is in session it can consider those issues because that is one of the essentials of the legislative authority. So not only is this bill under the call, I believe that a proposition for the Legislature to raise the income tax can be considered by the Legislature in total disregard of what is in the call because the Governor, by phrasing words in a certain way, cannot take away from the Legislature to do those things that we are empowered to do. And the court has said on many occasions that the Legislature, by defining words in a different than ordinary legal fashion, cannot give itself the power which ordinarily it would not have. In other words, it cannot say that a cat is really a dog in order that it can take actions against cats that it can't against dogs, and so forth, by definition expand its power. I believe that the Chair's ruling was correct. I think it should be upheld. I can't get 30 votes to introduce a bill to raise the income tax. I did not offer a bill to raise the income tax. If there is one in committee, I cannot make the motion because it is up to the introducer to either make the motion or agree that it be done. I'm powerless to do anything about it, so I'm offering, Senator Johnson, the fruits of my extensive studies of the Constitution. I've been shown, in issues between myself and the Attorney