

SENATOR DECAMP: Well, that might be okay. But if you use that standard, as Senator Landis is proposing, you then are also saying we could take every other bill that was ruled outside the call, finish processing them until we can make them "within the call" under some theory or other, or find out how they came out, and then make our decisions. That isn't the way the process works, or at least the way you have done it up until now. I realize this is getting technical, but certainly these points need to be made and made at the appropriate time. I guess it is better to make them before the fact than after the fact.

SPEAKER NICHOL: What is your point of order?

SENATOR DECAMP: My point of order is that consideration of LB 3 is outside the call of this session by virtue of the fact that it is put on this floor in a format that is outside the call, just like the Governor's bill on sales tax on services was put in by him and supposedly was in the call. But, as I pointed out to the Attorney General and as he had to conclude, his own bill was outside his own call. I think he's made the same error in this bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, if the body should vote on the amendment then we are more or less putting a stamp of approval that the bill is within the call because we have taken a vote pertaining to that bill. So, therefore, I would say that Senator DeCamp's objection, the bill being outside of the call, should be the first ruling because that is what he's objecting to.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Then why weren't you objecting when you started discussing the bill the first thing this morning instead of waiting until we get into amendments?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Because I didn't think about it.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay. Senator Lundy.

SENATOR LUNDY: Mr. Speaker, I think you have already ruled. The Chair has ruled on this and I think we should proceed with the Hefner amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Well, I'm certainly not going to rule this