

foot without it affecting the rest of the body.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR SCHMIT: So I'm going to support this resolution. It is a very mild recognition of the situation in which we find ourselves. But before we condemn individuals, let's go back and take a real good look at where it all started. I think Senator DeCamp would recognize, because he was a part of those objections, this Legislature did many times, we objected to the beef price freeze in '73, the cancellation of the feed grain sale to the Russians in '73, the cancellation of the soybean sale to the Japanese in '73, the cancellation of the feed grain sale to the Russians by President Ford in '75. I can go on and on, the deregulation of money in '79, the Carter embargo on January 4, 1980. I can list them like I know the birthdays of my kids, better, in fact. I just want to say once more that we need to recognize this, we need to acknowledge it. The resolution itself is of little value, but it does indicate that there is an awareness that there is a serious problem out there and that it affects every single citizen of the State of Nebraska and the middle west. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp, please.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, we were going to spend a half hour and I believe the issue is going to require more than that. It is a heavy issue and you are not going to avoid it. I want to dispute and try to offer proof as to why what Senator Schmit and some of the others are saying is simply wrong, and that they do not...I know they are going to get angry, understand all of the picture. Let me say I oppose the Beutler amendment because it does do nothing. It doesn't recognize the nature of the problem or offer specific solutions, or order not to go one direction or another. It simply says, gosh, we are hurting, go solve the problem. I am suggesting to you that the main solution being offered today is fatal. That main solution is simply to put five to ten billion dollars of printed dollars into buying up bonds, stabilizing the bonds for the rich. That does not save one "frigging" farmer from foreclosure, it doesn't change the picture one bit. It simply bails out the people who now are putting pressure on the federal government to simply put money in. Let me dispute, piece by piece, some of the things offered so you understand the