

October 31, 1985

certainty and almost an absolute certainty the other way that they can ultimately get their income tax. The Governor is opposing it. Some of us in here are opposing it so they are stalemated. Third group, third group pretty much, use Senator Harris as an example maybe, is the tax increase concept, the income tax which they are trying to get signatures for. Even though, as Senator Vard Johnson states, they do have maybe a majority in here that would vote for that, the facts are it is going to require more than a majority. It is going to require more than a majority, maybe to get over a veto, maybe to get it within the call because you have been trapped by the Governor on that point, maybe to override to get the emergency clause on. So I think that group is effectively stalemated even though they may think or believe, correctly so, that they have a majority. I guess a fourth group might be the ideas I am advocating, make as much in cuts as you can, no matter how you geographically draw up those cuts, whether it is targeted more to locals, more to state, whatever, and then rather than have any tax increases, recognize you have some available resources. Whether you want to use 10, 15, 20 or whatever million of the cash reserves, that will work even if you don't pass any legislation in here, even if you just state that's what we are doing because the issue really becomes a problem next April, actually in May probably and you're going to have to force the whole picture in January, deal with the whole picture in January anyway. So if you've got four groups, all of them stalemated, but what is the worst case scenario if we keep plodding ahead? The worst case scenario, absolute worst case, is that it becomes clear next week, let's say, that we can't do anything. Nobody can get their tax increases. The Governor doesn't change his call, which I don't think he can technically, legally do anyway. Nobody can really get their cuts that are acceptable. We do nothing. We're no worse off. That's the worst case. The best case is we come together on some package that makes sure there are no problems, but in between there is some progress whether it is adopting a 1.5 percent, a 1 percent cut at the University and the other things, whether it is a 3 percent across the board doing the entire \$20 million. In any case, if you do anything, even this city liability thing, if you do anything at all, you've moved forward and reduce, so to speak, your problems for next session, all of our problems for next session. So at least at this time, until it is clear to me that no resolution any direction is possible, I am willing to sit