

October 31, 1985

know where it is all going. To do that, of course, will mean that we have to consider more work as far as we're individually and maybe as standing committees concerned. But at the present time for this special session we have two problems, one is a shortfall and apparently one is a long-term solution to the problem. The first nonconsensus, if that's the right word, is that some of us would rather do nothing maybe and just get out of here. Some would like to maybe just use the reserves and maybe cut 1 percent and leave. Some want to cut 3 percent. Some want to cut 5 percent. Some want to raise the income tax. Most apparently, some don't want to talk about lotteries at all. Some aren't ready to talk about the sales tax on services. I guess, you see, based on all of that, I'm not sure where we're going from here and what we're doing is just taking it a day at a time, picking and choosing on a department or agency of state government and then maybe knocking off \$100,000 here or there. I don't think we are doing anybody any good and I don't think we're serving any purpose as legislators taking this much time unless we're really serious, unless we're really serious about doing the tough things and the really gutsy things and that's talking about cuts. To be really frank, where I come from and we see three or four million dollars going across the river to buy lottery tickets over in Iowa, they would rather see us probably have a lottery than to raise taxes, make cuts, and the next priority probably would be have some kind of a lottery and then raise taxes, but it's different across the state and I would accept that, but we're limited. We're limited first of all by the charge. We're limited to raising the sales tax on cigarettes, increasing the abstract fee, raiding the trust fund for the highways and a cut of 3 percent. That's one agenda, but that's the only agenda we have to consider. What about all the rest of the agendas that make some sense? And why can't we have a flexibility to talk about everything and then talk about everything in terms of serving the short-term problems and also, I think, the more responsible thing, to look now in the special session at the long-term problems of the state at the same time. What are we waiting for? There is no good time politically to do anything as far as I'm concerned when everything you have to do is so unpleasant. So I would suggest that we recess. That means we can come back in, I understand, based on how the system works on another call. Who knows? But maybe it would force us to consider our own selfish interests, maybe our own special interests and maybe