

industry and \$30,000 for the bee inspection program. That would be the two things that they would have identified to be restored in their judgment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Labedz and then Senator Rod Johnson.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Warner, would you yield to a question, please? On the blue sheet it says 5.6 percent, 180,000. Now is that 5.6 percent of their entire budget?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR LABEDZ: So, what Senator Haberman is trying to do is give them an overall cut of 3 percent and then they could pick what they think should stay in there?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, that's the problem, Senator Bernice. If you adopt Senator Haberman's motion, they will not be able to pick. They have already picked.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Okay, they would have to do it then 3 percent across the board, right?

SENATOR WARNER: No. They have seven programs.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Mmm, hmm.

SENATOR WARNER: They cannot shift money between programs of their budget.

SENATOR LABEDZ: I see. Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: And if we do it 3 percent in each program, we virtually lock their hands to do the management of the funds that they have indicated they are going to do, but what I'm trying to say, if you only want a 3 percent cut and you want to use the judgment of the Department of Agriculture, the two programs that you would restore is the \$4,600 operating expenses in animal husbandry...

SENATOR LABEDZ: Mmm, hmm.

SENATOR WARNER: ...and the \$30,000 for the inspection of bees. That is the two programs, if you want to follow their judgment, that you would restore.