

If we don't need financial management assistance in agriculture today, I don't know when we'll ever need it. It seems to me that is the wrong direction to go. Now just so you will know, just so you will know I've got another amendment up there that takes \$74,000 out from another program that the Appropriations Committee didn't take any money out of, I've got another amendment up there you can vote for this one, vote for the next amendment, or the one that is coming up a little later on and wind up with a neutral revenue situation. Sure, it's a matter of philosophy, but the next amendment up there takes money from a program that quite frankly I don't think any farmer will ever tell you benefits them, and that's the Ag Stats...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Time.

SENATOR VICKERS: ...those little things they mail out and nobody fills out anyway. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Haberman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HABERMAN: A point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask for a division of the question.

SPEAKER NICHOL: All right, we'll divide that. The first part, 24,000 for noxious weeds, Section 1 and the 50,000 will be Section 2. Senator Rod Johnson.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: I have no objection to what Senator Haberman wants to do. Senator Vickers and I have offered separate amendments later if this package fails, so it really doesn't make any difference, but we thought we'd try the two together first and if both, that package, fails, then of course we have separate amendments which basically is the division of the question now and I have no problem with that unless Senator Vickers does. No, that's fine.

SPEAKER NICHOL: So it's okay with you if we divide it out? Okay. The next speaker is Senator Goll. Excuse me a minute, Senator Goll. Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: I just ask, which part are we on now?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Pardon me?