

difference and yet definitely the Governor has put in a lesser amount.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes, the Governor is about \$52,000 difference.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Uh hmm.

SENATOR WARNER: The other thing that I do not know if the Governor took into account that we did and I neglected to mention it, the District Court has indicated that they are going to come in for a deficiency of over \$200,000 for the reason of some of the funding of LB 13 that was not funded in the regular session. We have an opinion from the Attorney General indicating that at least from the Attorney General's position that we do not have a liability as far as the state to fund that deficit, that the provisions of LB 13 are not what the court has said they thought they were to be. And so what we have indicated, at least on the committee basis, that we would not be approving their deficit request next year which is another reason for not cutting them so much. They would be more apt to come in with that deficit if they were cut deeper. But we still have the argument of whether we need to fund that deficit or not.

SENATOR PIRSCH: So nothing is specific, just in general a more liberal feeling.

SENATOR WARNER: In their case the specifics were a number of accumulation of small items. The only real specific was a vacancy of a probation officer that would not be refilled.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay, thank you, Senator Warner.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Madam President and members of the body, I would like to ask a question of Senator Warner relative to that section, if I could, please. Senator Warner, will there be other problems like that that will develop, disagreements as to responsibility and will, in fact, or do we have any knowledge of additional deficiencies in the rest of the budget that will be discussed as we go along?

SENATOR WARNER: Other deficiencies like this one?