

adoption of the committee amendment for the Supreme Court which is...there is corrections for the District Court which is Section 4, page 4. In this case the recommended reduction in the original bill is \$152,000. We are, however, suggesting that the reduction only be \$100,000. Seventy-six thousand of that is in a variety of things that the court indicated they might be able to make some reductions. It was travels and what is usually described as education for judges, keeping them appraised of changes that occur of things they need to know to function. The other portion of the reductions as indicated on the blue sheet was not to fill one FTE on a parole officer position when it becomes vacant, which would have a balanced savings in total of salary and travel of \$24,000. This is another agency that we arrived at that they should have no across-the-board cut in addition to specific things. You are getting again into the court system, a good portion of it is salaries for judges, but another major portion deals with probation. The probation area, the probation officers on the average have a very fairly high caseload. That has been historically true. Obviously, if probation is not adequately funded to continue even on a somewhat reduced basis, the result is that you do not have supervision and that alternative is not really there. So we are recommending a reduction here then of only \$100,000 in their budget rather than \$152,000 that the bill had when it was introduced.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: A question of Senator Warner if he will yield. I don't mean to waste time but I do wonder at the difference in the Governor's totals, both on the Supreme Court and on the District Court. The Appropriations Committee did appropriate a somewhat higher amount to them and I wondered what the difference was.

SENATOR WARNER: From what was indicated at the hearings, at least, and some of the other committee members can perhaps recall some things that I do not, but essentially the types of things that would have to be reduced at the higher dollar amount would appear to have an unreasonably adverse effect upon the judicial system to be able to function. I should say one other thing that is significant...(interruption.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Even though this is about \$1,000 and in the category, I guess I am wondering, there is a slight