

nothing would really get done in a special session anyway. Historically, check any special session you have participated in, believe it or not, the object has always been accomplished; maybe a little different but go back just the ones you have participated in, anybody that has been here. We came in to correct the criminal code and put a lid on. A lot of reluctance, but it happened. We came in to do Commonwealth. A lot of reluctance, but it happened. We came in to do Amendment 4. It happened. So the things that it has called for generally happen. In fact, I will go further than generally, I haven't found a time when really they don't, at least the main purpose. I think if you did have the special session and it was made clear it is for the purpose of getting a balanced budget whether through tax increases or cuts, eliminations, or a combination of these, if the special session were called for those purposes, statistically, based on previous history, it would occur. That would happen. Does it need to be done? I think Senator Warner has verified it absolutely does. So let's go to Senator Warner's one objection, and I sure see it. He says it in the lap of the Governor, it is his responsibility. Agreed. But now let's all think back. You have been here for what, three years of Governor Kerrey, what is the first thing he does? He checks with just about every member of the Legislature to see their attitude on what they would do. Would they support coming in to a special session? Now you can do that 49 individually and before you can do it here where we recognize the problem, we send a signal and we say, yes, Governor, we are telling you in advance if you perceive the same problem we do that this number of people would be willing, unfortunately, to come in and do this. So it is a cooperative effort and it is a signal from us that we sure see the problem. We hope he does too or we hope he will look at it and if he agrees with us that then he would bring us here and hopefully we would do the job set out which is come up with 50 or \$60 million through either cuts, increases or both of them. I agree with Senator Warner which is why I said sometime before 1985 in the resolution we should come in, my best guess is about November, or late October. So I would urge you to suspend the rules and vote for the resolution.

PRESIDENT: The motion is to suspend the rules in order to take up the resolution. It requires 33 votes. Thirty votes. Thank you. All those in favor of the motion to suspend the rules in order to take up the resolution vote