

not going to prevent the installation of air bags in automobiles as required safety equipment anyway. So those who vote against even giving the opportunity to consider this amendment I think are making a grave blunder. There are a lot of political decisions that have been made in connection with the call of this Special Session, at the time that it was called, in the way that it was called and the way it is being conducted. There are very real political considerations in the motion being offered by Senator Haberman which I support. I support his motion well aware of the political ramifications. When you deal with something that touches people in their personal lives as much as the seat belt does, there is no way for it not to be political. "Body politic" is an expression referring to the people, so that which bears on them directly cannot help but be political despite all of the editorials, all of the comments by these people who say, yeah, this is going to stop this and this is going to stop that. That has nothing to do with what we are talking about here today for some of you. You are talking about your political existence. If you are removed, then it will be easy to repeal the law except that we won't have to do it because the public is going to do it, and at the same time, they might be saying, well, old Senator So-and-so is not such a bad person, so I think I will consider giving a vote but let me check the rest of these things, bingo, seat belt law. Seat belt gets, no, don't keep it, or, yes, repeal it, however way it is framed, and Senator So-and-so who voted for it gets a no. That is a reality and I do believe that the issue is going to heat up as time goes on and more people are accosted by police for not wearing seat belts. They are not going to stop me because I am not going to be in violation of any other law, and even if that unfortunate occurrence should happen, Senator Labeledz, I still am not going to wear a seat belt, and I will fight them in court, and I will challenge the constitutionality of the bill should that befall me prior to its being voted out on the ballot. When I am opposed to these kind of bills, I don't express opposition because I am worried about how the law will impact on me. When I was successful in bringing changes in the way the radar law reads to provide more due process for the citizens, I didn't need that for me because the radar tickets I get I go to court and I win. I don't testify, don't present evidence, don't present witnesses, and I win. So I don't need it for myself but there comes a point when the state intrudes too far and I think it has done it with