

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...and why not?

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay, the reason it would not is because we are stating, this is our intent in doing this. This is what the body, if they should vote for this, this is the understanding we have which is all these conditions. This release this, blah, blah, blah, these are the understandings we have as a body when we, in fact, give this 8.5 million. It's a fine, fine line. I'm satisfied it's okay.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Even though it does not have those four words that supposedly were not available.

SENATOR DECAMP: The four words are in another part. Well, the equivalent of the four words. As I say, it was a much deeper and broader thing than a missing four words, but...

SENATOR PIRSCH: Well, true.

SENATOR DECAMP: The four words equivalent are in the balance of the bill.

SENATOR PIRSCH: What they really needed was an appropriation bill. Is that not correct?

SENATOR DECAMP: Absolutely correct.

SENATOR PIRSCH: This, though, does not need an appropriation bill.

SENATOR DECAMP: This becomes an appropriations bill, in effect.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Because it adds to 713 and is a separate...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...piece of legislation.

SENATOR DECAMP: Yeah. Which is what I contended Friday which everybody was denying.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Well, I wanted to clear up some of those matters and I guess it all boils down to the fact that if you want to add more words to the legislation, that this indeed would be more words, specifically adding to it.